Vehicle Propulsion Systems Lecture 2

Fuel Consupmtion Estimation – The Basics

Lars Eriksson Associate Professor (Docent)

> Vehicular Systems Linköping University

October 27, 2010

Outline

Repetition

Energy Consumption of a Driving Mission The Vehicle Motion Equation Losses in the vehicle motion Energy Demand of Driving Missions

Other Demands on Vehicles Performance and Driveability

Methods and tools

Energy System Overview



Primary sources

Different options for onboard energy storage Powertrain energy conversion during driving

Cut at the wheel!

Driving mission has a minimum energy requirement.

Energy Consumption of a Driving Mission



How large force is required at the wheels for driving the vehicle on a mission?

Repetition – Work, power and Newton's law

Translational system - Force, work and power:

$$W = \int F \, dx, \qquad P = \frac{d}{dt}W = F \, v$$

Rotating system – Torque (T = F r), work and power:

$$W = \int T d\theta, \qquad P = T \omega$$

Newton's second law:

TranslationalRotational $m \frac{dv}{dt} = F_{driv} - F_{load}$ $J \frac{d\omega}{dt} = T_{driv} - T_{load}$

The Vehicle Motion Equation

Newton's second law for a vehicle

$$m_{v}\frac{d}{dt}v(t) = F_{t}(t) - (F_{a}(t) + F_{r}(t) + F_{g}(t) + F_{d}(t))$$

- F_t tractive force
- ► *F_a* aerodynamic drag force
- F_r rolling resistance force
- F_g gravitational force
- *F_d* disturbance force

 Ott
 Star energy
 Trailing

 Refinery, transportation
 Biomass
 Biomass
 Biomass
 Biomass

 90%
 45%
 918
 100
 Fig
 100
 110
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100
 100

Outline

Repetition

W2M – Energy Paths

Energy Consumption of a Driving Mission The Vehicle Motion Equation Losses in the vehicle motion Energy Demand of Driving Missions

Other Demands on Vehicles Performance and Driveability Energy demand and recuperatio

Methods and tools Software tools

Rotational

Aerodynamic Drag Force - Loss

Aerodynamic drag force depends on: Frontal area A_f , drag coefficient c_d , air density ρ_a and vehicle velocity v(t)

$$\overline{F}_a(t) = rac{1}{2} \cdot
ho_a \cdot A_f \cdot c_d \cdot v(t)^2$$

Approximate contributions to F_a

F

- ► 65% car body.
- ► 20% wheel housings.
- ▶ 10% exterior mirrors, eave gutters, window housings, antennas, etc.
- ▶ 5% engine ventilation.

Gravitational Force

Gravitational load force



Up- and down-hill driving produces forces.

 $F_g = m_v g \sin(\alpha)$

▶ Flat road assumed $\alpha = 0$ if nothing else is stated (In the book).

Vehicle Operating Modes

The Vehicle Motion Equation:

$$m_{v}\frac{d}{dt}v(t) = F_{t}(t) - (F_{a}(t) + F_{r}(t) + F_{g}(t) + F_{d}(t))$$

- $F_t > 0$ traction
- $F_t < 0$ braking
- $F_t = 0$ coasting

$$\frac{d}{dt}v(t) = -\frac{1}{2m_v}\rho_a A_f c_d v^2(t) - g c_r = \alpha^2 v^2(t) - \beta^2$$

Coasting solution for v > 0

$$\mathbf{v}(t) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \tan\left(\arctan\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \, \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{0})\right) - \alpha \, \beta \, t\right)$$

Driving profiles



Driving profiles in general

- First used for pollutant control now also for fuel cons.
- Important that all use the same cycle when comparing.
- Different cycles have different energy demands.

Rolling Resistance Losses

Rolling resistance depends on: load and tire/road conditions





The velocity has small influence at low speeds. Increases for high speeds where resonance phenomena start. Assumption in book: c_r – constant

 $F_r = c_r \cdot m_v \cdot g$

Inertial forces – Reducing the Tractive Force



Variable substitution: $T_w = \gamma T_e$, $\omega_w \gamma = \omega_e$, $v = \omega_w r_w$

Tractive force:

$$F_t = \frac{1}{r_w} \left[\left(T_e - J_e \frac{d}{dt} \frac{v(t)}{r_w} \gamma \right) \cdot \gamma - J_w \frac{d}{dt} \frac{v(t)}{r_w} \right] = \frac{\gamma}{r_w} T_e - \left(\frac{\gamma^2}{r_w^2} J_e + \frac{1}{r_w^2} J_w \right) \frac{d}{dt} v(t)$$

- The Vehicle Motion Equation:
 - $\left[m_{v}+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{r_{w}^{2}}J_{e}+\frac{1}{r_{w}^{2}}J_{w}\right]\frac{d}{dt}v(t)=\frac{\gamma}{r_{w}}T_{e}-(F_{a}(t)+F_{r}(t)+F_{g}(t)+F_{d}(t))$

How to check a profile for traction?

The Vehicle Motion Equation:

$$m_{v}\frac{d}{dt}v(t) = F_{t}(t) - (F_{a}(t) + F_{r}(t) + F_{g}(t) + F_{d}(t))$$
(1)

- ► Traction conditions:
- $F_t > 0$ traction, $F_t < 0$ braking, $F_t = 0$ coasting
- Method 1: Compare the profile with the coasting solution over a time step

$$\mathbf{v}_{coast}(t_{i+1}) = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \tan\left(\arctan\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \mathbf{v}(t_i)\right) - \alpha \beta \left(t_{i+1} - t_i\right)\right)$$

Method 2: Solve (1) for F_t

$$F_{t}(t) = m_{v} \frac{d}{dt} v(t) + (F_{a}(t) + F_{r}(t) + F_{g}(t) + F_{d}(t))$$

Numerically differentiate the profile v(t) to get $\frac{d}{dt}v(t)$. Compare with Traction condition.

Driving profiles – Another example



Velocity profile, European MVEG-95 (ECE*4, EUDC)

No coasting in this driving profile.

Mechanical Energy Demand of a Cycle

Only the demand from the cycle

The mean tractive force during a cycle

$$\bar{F}_{trac} = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \int_{0}^{x_{tot}} F(x) dx = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \int_{t \in trac} F(t) v(t) dt$$

where $x_{tot} = \int_0^{t_{max}} v(t) dt$.

- Note $t \in trac$ in definition.
- Only traction.

Evaluating the integral

Resulting in these sums

Approximate car data

Idling not a demand from the cycle.

Tractive force from The Vehicle Motion Equation

 $F_{trac} = rac{1}{2}
ho_a A_f c_d v^2(t) + m_v g c_r + m_v a(t)$ $\bar{F}_{trac} = \bar{F}_{trac,a} + \bar{F}_{trac,r} + \bar{F}_{trac,m}$

 $\bar{F}_{trac,a} = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \frac{1}{2} \rho_a A_f c_d \sum_{i \in trac} \bar{v}_i^3 h$

 $\bar{F}_{trac,r} = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \, m_v \, g \, c_r \, \sum_{i \in trac} \bar{v}_i \, h$

 $ar{F}_{trac,m} = rac{1}{x_{tot}} m_v \sum_{i \in trac} ar{a}_i \, ar{v}_i \, h$

 $\bar{E}_{\text{MVEG-95}} \approx A_f c_d 1.9 \cdot 10^4 + m_v c_r 8.4 \cdot 10^2 + m_v 10$

1500 kg 1000 kg

Average and maximum power requirement for the cycle.

7.1 kW 5.0 kW 115 kW 77 kW

compact

0.4 m²

0.017

750 kg

3.2 kW 57 kW

0.6 m²

0.017

full-size

0.7 m²

0.017

kJ/100km

light-weight PAC-Car II

.25 · .07 m²

0 0008

39 kg

Evaluating the integral

Discretized velocity profile used to evaluate

$$\bar{F}_{trac} = rac{1}{x_{tot}} \int_{t \in trac} F(t) v(t) dt$$

here $v_i = v(t_i), t = i \cdot h, i = 1, ..., n$. Approximating the quantites

$$ar{v}_i(t)pprox rac{v_i+v_{i-1}}{2}, \qquad t\in[t_{i-1},t_i)$$
 $ar{a}_i(t)pprox rac{v_i-v_{i-1}}{h}, \qquad t\in[t_{i-1},t_i)$

Traction approximation

$$ar{F}_{trac} pprox rac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_{i \in trac} ar{F}_{trac,i} \, v_i \, h$$

Values for cycles



$$\begin{split} \bar{X}_{trac,s} &= \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_{i \in trac} \bar{v}_i^3 h = \{319, 82.9, 455\} \\ \bar{X}_{trac,r} &= \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_{i \in trac} \bar{v}_i h = \{.856, 0.81, 0.88\} \\ \bar{X}_{trac,m} &= \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_{i \in trac} \bar{a}_i \bar{v}_i h = \{0.101, 0.126, 0.086\} \end{split}$$

 $\bar{E}_{\text{MVEG-95}} \approx A_f c_d \, 1.9 \cdot 10^4 + m_v c_r \, 8.4 \cdot 10^2 + m_v \, 10$ kJ/100km Tasks in Hand-in assignment

The Vehicle Motion Equation

Newton's second law for a vehicle



- ► F_t tractive force
- F_a aerodynamic drag force
- F_r rolling resistance force
- F_g gravitational force
- ▶ F_d disturbance force

Outline

Energy Consumption of a Driving Mission
The Vehicle Motion Equation
Losses in the vehicle motion
Energy Demand of Driving Missions
Other Demands on Vehicles

Performance and Driveability Energy demand and recuperation

SUV

 A_f

C_o cr

1.2 m²

0.017

 P_{MVEG-95}
 11.3 kW

 \bar{P}_{max} 155 kW

2000 kg

Energy consumption for cycles



Numerical values for MVEG-95, ECE, EUDC



 $\bar{E}_{\text{MVEG-95}} \approx A_f c_d 1.9 \cdot 10^4 + m_v c_r 8.4 \cdot 10^2 + m_v 10$ kJ/100km

For passenger cars:

Top speed

Important factors for customersNot easy to define and quantify

Top Speed Performance

Starting point the vehicle motion equation.

$$m_{\nu}\frac{d}{dt}\nu(t) = F_t - \frac{1}{2}\rho_{\sigma}A_f c_d v^2(t) - m_{\nu}g c_r - m_{\nu}g \sin(\alpha)$$

At top speed

 $rac{d}{dt}v(t)=0$

and the air drag is the dominating loss.

power requirement
$$(F_t = \frac{T_{max}}{v})$$

$$P_{max} = \frac{1}{2} \rho_a A_f c_d v^3$$

Doubling the power increases top speed with 26%.

Uphill Driving

Starting point the vehicle motion equation.

km/h or 60 miles/h are often used)

$$m_v \frac{d}{dt} v(t) = F_t - \frac{1}{2} \rho_a A_f c_d v^2(t) - m_v g c_r - m_v g \sin(\alpha)$$

Maximum grade for which a fully loaded car reaches top speed

Acceleration time from standstill to a reference speed (100

Assume that the dominating effect is the inclination $(F_t = \frac{P_{max}}{v})$, gives power requirement:

 $P_{max} = v m_v g \sin(\alpha)$

 Improved numerical results require a more careful analysis concerning the gearbox and gear ratio selection.

Acceleration Performance

 Starting point: Study the build up of kinetic energy

$$E_0 = rac{1}{2} m_v v_0^2$$

- ► Assume that all engine power will build up kinetic energy (neglecting the resistance forces) Average power: $\vec{P} = E_0/t_0$
- Ad hoc relation, $\bar{P} = \frac{1}{2}P_{max}$ Assumption about an ICE with approximately constant torque (also including some non accounted losses)

 $P_{max} = \frac{m_v v^2}{t_0}$

Energy demand again - Recuperation



Recover the vehicle's kinetic energy during driving

Acceleration Performance - Validation



Perfect recuperation

Mean required force

ed force
$$ar{F}=ar{F}_{a}+ar{F}_{r}$$

Sum over all points

$$\bar{F}_{\mathfrak{a}} = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\mathfrak{a}} A_{f} c_{d} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \bar{v}_{i}^{3} h$$
$$\bar{F}_{r} = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} m_{v} g c_{r} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \bar{v}_{i} h$$

$\label{eq:perfect} \mbox{Perfect recuperation} - \mbox{Numerical values for cycles}$



Numerical values for MVEG-95, ECE, EUDC

$$\begin{split} \bar{X}_{a} &= \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_{i} \bar{v}_{i}^{3} h = \\ \bar{X}_{r} &= \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_{i} \bar{v}_{i} h = \\ \end{split}$$
 {363, 100, 515}

Perfect and no recuperation



Mean force represented as liter Diesel / 100 km.

Sensitivity Analysis

Cycle energy reqirement (no recuperation)

 $\bar{E}_{\text{MVEG-95}} \approx A_f c_d 1.9 \cdot 10^4 + m_v c_r 8.4 \cdot 10^2 + m_v 10$ kJ/100 km

Sensitivity analysis

$$S_{\rho} = \lim_{\delta \rho \to 0} \frac{\left[\bar{E}_{\text{MVEG-95}}(\rho + \delta \rho) - \bar{E}_{\text{MVEG-95}}(\rho)\right] / \bar{E}_{\text{MVEG-95}}(\rho)}{\delta \rho / \rho}$$
$$S_{\rho} = \lim_{\delta \rho \to 0} \frac{\left[\bar{E}_{\text{MVEG-95}}(\rho + \delta \rho) - \bar{E}_{\text{MVEG-95}}(\rho)\right]}{\delta \rho} \frac{\rho}{\bar{E}_{\text{MVEG-95}}(\rho)}$$

Vehicle parameters:

c_r m_v

Vehicle mass and fuel consumption



0.0

Sensitivity Analysis

0.5

Vehicle mass is the most important parameter.

 $A_f \cdot c_d = 0.4 \text{ m}^2$

 $m_v = 750 \, \text{kg}$

 $c_r = 0.008$

 $A_f \cdot c_d = 0.7 \text{ m}^2$

 $m_v = 1500$ kg

 $c_r = 0.012$ -

Realistic Recuperation Devices



Vehicle Mass and Cycle-Avearged Efficiency



Methods and tools

Outline

Energy Consumption of a Driving Mission The Vehicle Motion Equation Losses in the vehicle motion Energy Demand of Driving Missions

Other Demands on Vehicles Performance and Driveability Energy demand and recuperation

Methods and tools Software tools Average operating point method.



One task among in the Hand-in assignments.

Two Approaches for Powertrain Simulation

Dynamic simulation (forward simulation)

Cycle Driver Engine Transm. Wheel Vehicle

- "Normal" system modeling direction -Requires driver model

Quasistatic simulation (inverse simulation)

Cycle Vehicle Wheel Transm. Engine

- -"Reverse" system modeling direction
- -Follows driving cycle exactly
- Model causality

Dynamic approach

Quasistatic approach

- Backward simulation
- ▶ Driving cycle \Rightarrow Losses \Rightarrow Driving force \Rightarrow Wheel torque \Rightarrow Engine (powertrain) torque $\Rightarrow \ldots \Rightarrow$ Fuel consumtion.
- Available tools are limited with respect to the powertrain components that they can handle, considering Modelica opens up new possibilities.
- See also: Efficient Drive Cycle Simulation, Anders Fröberg and Lars Nielsen (2008) ...

Optimization problems

- Drivers input u propagates to the vehicle and the cycle
- ► Drivers input ⇒ ... ⇒ Driving force ⇒ Losses ⇒ Vehicle velocity ⇒ Feedback to driver model
- Available tools (= Standard simulation) can deal with arbitrary powertrain complexity.

Structure optimization

- Parametric optimization
- Control system optimization

Software tools

Different tools for studying energy consumption in vehicle
propulsion systems
Quasi static Dynamic

	Quusi stutie	Dynamic
QSS (ETH)	Х	
Advisor, AVL	Х	(X)
PSAT		Х
CAPSim (VSim)		Х
· · · ·		
Inhouse tools	(X)	(X)
	()	. ,

PSAT

Argonne national laboratory



Advisor



Advisor

Information from AVL:

- The U.S. Department of Energy's National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) first developed ADVISOR in 1994.
- Between 1998 and 2003 it was downloaded by more than 7,000 individuals, corporations, and universities world-wide.
- In early 2003 NREL initiated the commercialisation of ADVISOR through a public solicitation.
- ► AVL responded and was awarded the exclusive rights to license and distribute ADVISOR world-wide.