

# Vehicle Propulsion Systems

## Lecture 2

### Fuel Consumption Estimation & ICE Powertrains

Lars Eriksson  
Associate Professor (Docent)

Vehicular Systems  
Linköping University

October 30, 2012

2 / 51

## Outline

### Repetition

#### Energy demand cont.

- Energy demand and recuperation
- Sensitivity Analysis

#### Forward and Inverse (QSS) Models

#### IC Engine Models

- Normalized Engine Variables
- Engine Efficiency

#### Gear-Box and Clutch Models


- Selection of Gear Ratio
- Gear-Box Efficiency
- Clutches and Torque Converters

#### Analysis of IC Powertrains

- Average Operating Point
- Quasistatic Analysis
- Software tools


3 / 51

## W2M – Energy Paths



4 / 51

## Energy System Overview



Primary sources

Different options for on-board energy storage

Powertrain energy conversion during driving

Cut at the wheel!

Driving mission has a minimum energy requirement.

5 / 51

## Mechanical Energy Demand of a Cycle

Only the demand from the cycle

- The mean tractive force during a cycle

$$\bar{F}_{trac} = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \int_0^{x_{tot}} \max(F(x), 0) dx = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \int_{t \in trac} F(t)v(t) dt$$

where  $x_{tot} = \int_0^{t_{max}} v(t) dt$ .

- Note  $t \in trac$  in definition.
- Only traction.
- Idling not a demand from the cycle.

6 / 51

## Evaluating the integral

Tractive force from *The Vehicle Motion Equation*

$$F_{trac} = \frac{1}{2} \rho_a A_f c_d v^2(t) + m_v g c_r + m_v a(t)$$

$$\bar{F}_{trac} = \bar{F}_{trac,a} + \bar{F}_{trac,r} + \bar{F}_{trac,m}$$

Resulting in these sums


$$\bar{F}_{trac,a} = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \frac{1}{2} \rho_a A_f c_d \sum_{i \in trac} \bar{v}_i^3 h$$

$$\bar{F}_{trac,r} = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} m_v g c_r \sum_{i \in trac} \bar{v}_i h$$

$$\bar{F}_{trac,m} = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} m_v \sum_{i \in trac} \bar{a}_i \bar{v}_i h$$

7 / 51

## Values for cycles



Numerical values for the cycles: {MVEG-95, ECE, EUDC}

$$\bar{x}_{trac,a} = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_{i \in trac} \bar{v}_i^3 h = \{319, 82.9, 455\}$$

$$\bar{x}_{trac,r} = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_{i \in trac} \bar{v}_i h = \{0.856, 0.81, 0.88\}$$

$$\bar{x}_{trac,m} = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_{i \in trac} \bar{a}_i \bar{v}_i h = \{0.101, 0.126, 0.086\}$$

$$\bar{E}_{MVEG-95} \approx A_f c_d 1.9 \cdot 10^4 + m_v c_r 8.4 \cdot 10^2 + m_v 10 \quad kJ/100km$$

Tasks in Hand-in assignment

8 / 51

## Outline

### Repetition

#### Energy demand cont.

- Energy demand and recuperation
- Sensitivity Analysis

#### Forward and Inverse (QSS) Models

#### IC Engine Models

- Normalized Engine Variables
- Engine Efficiency

#### Gear-Box and Clutch Models


- Selection of Gear Ratio
- Gear-Box Efficiency
- Clutches and Torque Converters

#### Analysis of IC Powertrains

- Average Operating Point
- Quasistatic Analysis
- Software tools

9 / 51

- ▶ Previously: Considered energy demand from the cycle.
- ▶ Now: The cycle can give energy to the vehicle.



Recover the vehicle's kinetic energy during driving.

- ▶ Mean required force


$$\bar{F} = \bar{F}_a + \bar{F}_r$$

- ▶ Sum over all points

$$\bar{F}_a = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \frac{1}{2} \rho_a A_f c_d \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{v}_i^3 h$$

$$\bar{F}_r = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} m_v g c_r \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{v}_i h$$

Perfect recuperation – Numerical values for cycles



Numerical values for MVEG-95, ECE, EUDC

$$\bar{X}_a = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_i \bar{v}_i^3 h = \{363, 100, 515\}$$

$$\bar{X}_r = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_i \bar{v}_i h = \{1, 1, 1\}$$

$$\bar{E}_{MVEG-95} \approx A_f c_d 2.2 \cdot 10^4 + m_v c_r 9.81 \cdot 10^2 \quad kJ/100km$$

Comparison of numerical values for cycles

- ▶ Without recuperation.

$$\bar{X}_{trac,a} = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_{i \in trac} \bar{v}_i^3 h = \{319, 82.9, 455\}$$

$$\bar{X}_{trac,r} = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_{i \in trac} \bar{v}_i h = \{0.856, 0.81, 0.88\}$$


$$\bar{X}_{trac,m} = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_{i \in trac} \bar{a}_i \bar{v}_i h = \{0.101, 0.126, 0.086\}$$

- ▶ With perfect recuperation

$$\bar{X}_a = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_i \bar{v}_i^3 h = \{363, 100, 515\}$$

$$\bar{X}_r = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_i \bar{v}_i h = \{1, 1, 1\}$$

Perfect and no recuperation



Mean force represented as liter Diesel / 100 km.

Sensitivity Analysis

- ▶ Cycle energy requirement (no recuperation)

$$\bar{E}_{MVEG-95} \approx A_f c_d 1.9 \cdot 10^4 + m_v c_r 8.4 \cdot 10^2 + m_v 10 \quad kJ/100km$$

- ▶ Sensitivity analysis


$$S_p = \lim_{\delta p \rightarrow 0} \frac{[\bar{E}_{MVEG-95}(p + \delta p) - \bar{E}_{MVEG-95}(p)]}{\delta p} / \bar{E}_{MVEG-95}(p)$$

$$S_p = \lim_{\delta p \rightarrow 0} \frac{[\bar{E}_{MVEG-95}(p + \delta p) - \bar{E}_{MVEG-95}(p)]}{\delta p} \frac{p}{\bar{E}_{MVEG-95}(p)}$$

- ▶ Vehicle parameters:


- ▶  $A_f c_d$
- ▶  $c_r$
- ▶  $m_v$



Sensitivity Analysis



Vehicle mass is the most important parameter.

Vehicle mass and fuel consumption






Outline

- Repetition
- Energy demand cont.
  - Energy demand and recuperation
  - Sensitivity Analysis
- Forward and Inverse (QSS) Models
- IC Engine Models
  - Normalized Engine Variables
  - Engine Efficiency
- Gear-Box and Clutch Models
  - Selection of Gear Ratio
  - Gear-Box Efficiency
  - Clutches and Torque Converters
- Analysis of IC Powertrains
  - Average Operating Point
  - Quasistatic Analysis
  - Software tools


Two Approaches for Powertrain Simulation

- Dynamic simulation (forward simulation)



- "Normal" system modeling direction
- Requires driver model

- Quasistatic simulation (inverse simulation)



- "Reverse" system modeling direction
- Follows driving cycle exactly

- Model causality

Dynamic approach

- Drivers input  $u$  propagates to the vehicle and the cycle
- Drivers input  $\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow$  Driving force  $\Rightarrow$  Losses  $\Rightarrow$  Vehicle velocity  $\Rightarrow$  Feedback to driver model
- Available tools (= Standard simulation) can deal with arbitrary powertrain complexity.

Quasistatic approach

- Backward simulation
- Driving cycle  $\Rightarrow$  Losses  $\Rightarrow$  Driving force  $\Rightarrow$  Wheel torque  $\Rightarrow$  Engine (powertrain) torque  $\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow$  Fuel consumption.
- Available tools are limited with respect to the powertrain components that they can handle. Considering new tools such as Modelica opens up new possibilities.
- See also: *Efficient Drive Cycle Simulation*, Anders Fröberg and Lars Nielsen (2008) ...

Outline

- Repetition
- Energy demand cont.
  - Energy demand and recuperation
  - Sensitivity Analysis
- Forward and Inverse (QSS) Models
- IC Engine Models
  - Normalized Engine Variables
  - Engine Efficiency
- Gear-Box and Clutch Models
  - Selection of Gear Ratio
  - Gear-Box Efficiency
  - Clutches and Torque Converters
- Analysis of IC Powertrains
  - Average Operating Point
  - Quasistatic Analysis
  - Software tools

Causality and Basic Equations

High level modeling – Inputs and outputs

- Causalities for Engine Models



- Engine efficiency


$$\eta_e = \frac{\omega_e T_e}{P_c}$$

- Enthalpy flow of fuel (Power  $\dot{H}_{fuel} = P_c$ )

$$P_c = \dot{m}_f q_{LHV}$$

## Engine Efficiency Maps


Measured engine efficiency map – Used very often



–What to do when map-data isn't available?

26 / 51

## Engine Geometry Definitions



Cylinder, Piston, Connecting rod, Crank shaft

- ▶ Bore,  $B$
- ▶ Stroke,  $S = 2a$
- ▶ Number of cylinders  $z$
- ▶ Cylinder swept volume,  $V_d = \frac{\pi B^2 S}{4}$
- ▶ Engine swept volume,  $V_d = z \frac{\pi B^2 S}{4}$
- ▶ Compression ratio  $r_c = \frac{V_{max}}{V_{min}} = \frac{V_d + V_c}{V_c}$

27 / 51

## Definition of MEP

See whiteboard.

## Normalized Engine Variables

- ▶ Mean Piston Speed ( $S_p = mps = c_m$ ):

$$c_m = \frac{\omega_e S}{\pi}$$

- ▶ Mean Effective Pressure ( $MEP = p_{me}$  ( $N = n_r \cdot 2$ )):

$$p_{me} = \frac{N \pi T_e}{V_d}$$

- ▶ Used to:

- ▶ Compare performance for engines of different size
- ▶ Design rules for engine sizing.  
At max engine power:  $c_m \approx 17$  m/s,  $p_{me} \approx 1e6$  Pa (no turbo)  
⇒ engine size

- ▶ Connection:


$$P_e = z \frac{\pi}{16} B^2 p_{me} c_m$$

28 / 51

29 / 51

## Torque modeling through – Willans Line

- ▶ Measurement data:  $x: p_{mf}$   $y: p_{me} = BMEP$




- ▶ Linear (affine) relationship – Willans line

$$p_{me} = e(\omega_e) \cdot p_{mf} - p_{me,0}(\omega_e)$$

- ▶ Engine efficiency:  $\eta_e = \frac{p_{me}}{p_{mf}}$

30 / 51

## Engine Efficiency – Map Representation



Willans line parameters:  $e(\omega_e)$   $p_{me,0}(\omega_e)$

31 / 51

## Outline

Repetition

Energy demand cont.

Energy demand and recuperation

Sensitivity Analysis

Forward and Inverse (QSS) Models

IC Engine Models

Normalized Engine Variables

Engine Efficiency

Gear-Box and Clutch Models

Selection of Gear Ratio

Gear-Box Efficiency

Clutches and Torque Converters

Analysis of IC Powertrains

Average Operating Point

Quasistatic Analysis


Software tools

32 / 51


## Causality and Basic Equations

- ▶ Causalities for Gear-Box Models

Quasistatic Approach



Dynamic Approach



- ▶ Power balance – Loss free model

$$\omega_1 = \gamma \omega_2, \quad T_1 = \frac{T_2}{\gamma}$$

33 / 51

- ▶ Manual Gear Box
- ▶ Automatic Gear Box, with torque converter
- ▶ Automatic Gear Box, with automated clutch
- ▶ Automatic Gear Box, with dual clutches (DCT)
- ▶ Continuously variable transmission

▶ Vehicle motion equation:

$$m_v \frac{d}{dt} v(t) = F_t - \frac{1}{2} \rho_a A_f c_d v^2(t) - m_v g c_r - m_v g \sin(\alpha)$$


Constant speed  $\frac{d}{dt} v(t) = 0$ :

$$F_t = \frac{1}{2} \rho_a A_f c_d v^2(t) + m_v g c_r + m_v g \sin(\alpha)$$

- ▶ A given speed  $v$  will require power  $F_t v$  from the powertrain.
- ▶ This translates to power at the engine  $T_e \omega_e$ . Changing/selecting gears decouples  $\omega_e$  and  $v$ .
- ▶ Required tractive force increases with speed. For a fixed gear ratio there is also an increase in required engine torque.

Selection of Gear Ratio

Gear ratio selection connected to the engine map.



Additionally: Also geometric ratio between gears.


$$\frac{i_{g,1}}{i_{g,2}} \approx \frac{i_{g,2}}{i_{g,3}} \approx \frac{i_{g,3}}{i_{g,4}} \approx \frac{i_{g,4}}{i_{g,5}}$$

Selection of Gear Ratio

Optimizing gear ratio for a certain cycle.

- ▶ Potential to save fuel.
- ▶ Case study 8.1 (we'll look at it later).

Gear-box Efficiency




▶ In traction mode

$$T_2 \omega_w = e_{gb} T_1 \omega_e - P_{0,gb}(\omega_e), \quad T_1 \omega_e > 0$$

▶ In engine braking mode (fuel cut)

$$T_1 \omega_e = e_{gb} T_2 \omega_w - P_{0,gb}(\omega_e), \quad T_1 \omega_e < 0$$

Clutch and Torque Converter Efficiency




Friction clutch torque:

$$T_{1,e}(t) = T_{1,gb}(t) = T_1(t) \quad \forall t$$

Action and reaction torque in the clutch, no mass.

Torque Characteristics of a Friction Clutch



Approximation of the maximum torque in a friction clutch

$$T_{1,max} = \text{sign}(\Delta\omega) \left( T_b - (T_b - T_a) \cdot e^{-|\Delta\omega|/\Delta\omega_0} \right)$$

Main parameters in a Torque Converter



Input torque at the converter:

$$T_{1,e}(t) = \xi(\phi(t)) \rho_h d_p^5 \omega_e^2(t)$$

Converter output torque

$$T_{1,gb}(t) = \psi(\phi(t)) \cdot T_{1,e}(t)$$

Graph for the speed ratio  $\phi(t) = \frac{\omega_{gb}}{\omega_e}$  and the experimentally determined  $\psi(\phi(t))$



The efficiency in traction mode becomes


$$\eta_{tc} = \frac{\omega_{gb} T_{1,gb}}{\omega_e T_{1,e}} = \psi(\phi) \phi$$

## Outline

- Repetition
- Energy demand cont.
  - Energy demand and recuperation
  - Sensitivity Analysis
- Forward and Inverse (QSS) Models
- IC Engine Models
  - Normalized Engine Variables
  - Engine Efficiency
- Gear-Box and Clutch Models
  - Selection of Gear Ratio
  - Gear-Box Efficiency
  - Clutches and Torque Converters
- Analysis of IC Powertrains
  - Average Operating Point
  - Quasistatic Analysis
  - Software tools

42 / 51


## Average Operating Point Method



- ▶ Average operating point method
  - Good agreement for conventional powertrains.
- ▶ Hand-in assignment.

43 / 51


## Quasistatic analysis – Layout



- ▶ More details and better agreement (depends on model quality)
  - Good agreement for general powertrains
- ▶ Hand-in assignment.


44 / 51

## Quasistatic analysis – IC Engine Structure



45 / 51

## Quasistatic analysis – Engine Operating Points



46 / 51

## Software tools


Different tools for studying energy consumption in vehicle propulsion systems

	Quasi static	Dynamic
QSS (ETH)	X	
Advisor, AVL	X	(X)
PSAT		X
CAPSim (VSim)		X
Inhouse tools	(X)	(X)

47 / 51


## PSAT

Argonne national laboratory



48 / 51

## Advisor



49 / 51

### Information from AVL:

- ▶ The U.S. Department of Energy's National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) first developed ADVISOR in 1994.
- ▶ Between 1998 and 2003 it was downloaded by more than 7,000 individuals, corporations, and universities world-wide.
- ▶ In early 2003 NREL initiated the commercialisation of ADVISOR through a public solicitation.
- ▶ AVL responded and was awarded the exclusive rights to license and distribute ADVISOR world-wide.