




## Energy demand again – Recuperation

- Previously: Considered **energy demand** from the cycle.
- Now: The cycle can give energy to the vehicle.



Recover the vehicle's kinetic energy during driving.

10 / 51

## Perfect recuperation

- Mean required force

$$\bar{F} = \bar{F}_a + \bar{F}_r$$


- Sum over all points

$$\bar{F}_a = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \frac{1}{2} \rho_a A_f c_d \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{v}_i^3 h$$

$$\bar{F}_r = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} m_v g c_r \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{v}_i h$$

11 / 51

## Perfect recuperation – Numerical values for cycles



Numerical values for MVEG-95, ECE, EUDC

$$\bar{X}_a = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_i \bar{v}_i^3 h = \{363, 100, 515\}$$

$$\bar{X}_r = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_i \bar{v}_i h = \{1, 1, 1\}$$

$$\bar{E}_{MVEG-95} \approx A_f c_d 2.2 \cdot 10^4 + m_v c_r 9.81 \cdot 10^2 \quad \text{kJ/100km}$$

12 / 51

## Comparison of numerical values for cycles

- Without recuperation.

$$\bar{X}_{trac,a} = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_{i \in trac} \bar{v}_i^3 h = \{319, 82.9, 455\}$$

$$\bar{X}_{trac,r} = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_{i \in trac} \bar{v}_i h = \{0.856, 0.81, 0.88\}$$

$$\bar{X}_{trac,m} = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_{i \in trac} \bar{a}_i \bar{v}_i h = \{0.101, 0.126, 0.086\}$$


- With perfect recuperation

$$\bar{X}_a = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_i \bar{v}_i^3 h = \{363, 100, 515\}$$

$$\bar{X}_r = \frac{1}{x_{tot}} \sum_i \bar{v}_i h = \{1, 1, 1\}$$

13 / 51

## Perfect and no recuperation



Mean force represented as liter Diesel / 100 km.

14 / 51

## Sensitivity Analysis

- Cycle energy requirement (no recuperation)

$$\bar{E}_{MVEG-95} \approx A_f c_d 1.9 \cdot 10^4 + m_v c_r 8.4 \cdot 10^2 + m_v 10 \quad \text{kJ/100km}$$

- Sensitivity analysis

$$S_p = \lim_{\delta p \rightarrow 0} \frac{[\bar{E}_{MVEG-95}(p + \delta p) - \bar{E}_{MVEG-95}(p)]}{\delta p / p} \cdot \frac{p}{\bar{E}_{MVEG-95}(p)}$$


$$S_p = \lim_{\delta p \rightarrow 0} \frac{[\bar{E}_{MVEG-95}(p + \delta p) - \bar{E}_{MVEG-95}(p)]}{\delta p} \cdot \frac{p}{\bar{E}_{MVEG-95}(p)}$$

- Vehicle parameters:

- $A_f c_d$
- $c_r$
- $m_v$

15 / 51


## Sensitivity Analysis



Vehicle mass is the most important parameter.


16 / 51

## Vehicle mass and fuel consumption




17 / 51

## Realistic Recuperation Devices



18 / 51

## Vehicle Mass and Cycle-Avearged Efficiency



19 / 51

## Outline

Repetition

Energy demand cont.

Energy demand and recuperation

Sensitivity Analysis

Forward and Inverse (QSS) Models

IC Engine Models

Normalized Engine Variables

Engine Efficiency

Gear-Box and Clutch Models

Selection of Gear Ratio

Gear-Box Efficiency

Clutches and Torque Converters

Analysis of IC Powertrains

Average Operating Point


Quasistatic Analysis

Software tools

20 / 51

## Two Approaches for Powertrain Simulation


► Dynamic simulation (forward simulation)



– “Normal” system modeling direction

– Requires driver model

► Quasistatic simulation (inverse simulation)



– “Reverse” system modeling direction

– Follows driving cycle exactly

► Model causality

21 / 51

## Dynamic approach

- Drivers input  $u$  propagates to the vehicle and the cycle
- Drivers input  $\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow$  Driving force  $\Rightarrow$  Losses  $\Rightarrow$  Vehicle velocity  $\Rightarrow$  Feedback to driver model
- Available tools (= Standard simulation) can deal with arbitrary powertrain complexity.

22 / 51

## Quasistatic approach

- Backward simulation
- Driving cycle  $\Rightarrow$  Losses  $\Rightarrow$  Driving force  $\Rightarrow$  Wheel torque  $\Rightarrow$  Engine (powertrain) torque  $\Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow$  Fuel consumption.
- Available tools are limited with respect to the powertrain components that they can handle. Considering new tools such as Modelica opens up new possibilities.
- See also: *Efficient Drive Cycle Simulation*, Anders Fröberg and Lars Nielsen (2008) ...

23 / 51

## Outline

Repetition

Energy demand cont.

Energy demand and recuperation

Sensitivity Analysis

Forward and Inverse (QSS) Models

IC Engine Models

Normalized Engine Variables

Engine Efficiency

Gear-Box and Clutch Models

Selection of Gear Ratio

Gear-Box Efficiency

Clutches and Torque Converters

Analysis of IC Powertrains

Average Operating Point

Quasistatic Analysis

Software tools


24 / 51

## Causality and Basic Equations


High level modeling – Inputs and outputs

► Causalities for Engine Models

Quasistatic Approach



Dynamic Approach



► Engine efficiency

$$\eta_e = \frac{\omega_e T_e}{P_c}$$


► Enthalpy flow of fuel (Power  $\dot{H}_{fuel} = P_c$ )

$$P_c = \dot{m}_f q_{LHV}$$

25 / 51

## Engine Efficiency Maps


Measured engine efficiency map – Used very often



–What to do when map-data isn't available?

26 / 51

## Engine Geometry Definitions



Cylinder, Piston, Connecting rod, Crank shaft

- ▶ Bore,  $B$
- ▶ Stroke,  $S = 2a$
- ▶ Number of cylinders  $z$
- ▶ Cylinder swept volume,  $V_d = \frac{\pi B^2 S}{4}$
- ▶ Engine swept volume,  $V_d = z \frac{\pi B^2 S}{4}$
- ▶ Compression ratio  $r_c = \frac{V_{max}}{V_{min}} = \frac{V_d + V_c}{V_c}$

27 / 51

## Definition of MEP

See whiteboard.

## Normalized Engine Variables

- ▶ Mean Piston Speed ( $S_p = mps = c_m$ ):

$$c_m = \frac{\omega_e S}{\pi}$$

- ▶ Mean Effective Pressure (MEP= $p_{me}$  ( $N = n_r \cdot 2$ )):

$$p_{me} = \frac{N \pi T_e}{V_d}$$

- ▶ Used to:

- ▶ Compare performance for engines of different size
- ▶ Design rules for engine sizing.  
At max engine power:  $c_m \approx 17$  m/s,  $p_{me} \approx 1e6$  Pa (no turbo)  
⇒ engine size
- ▶ Connection:


$$P_e = z \frac{\pi}{16} B^2 p_{me} c_m$$

28 / 51

29 / 51

## Torque modeling through – Willans Line

- ▶ Measurement data:  $x: p_{mf}$   $y: p_{me} = BMEP$




- ▶ Linear (affine) relationship – Willans line

$$p_{me} = e(\omega_e) \cdot p_{mf} - p_{me,0}(\omega_e)$$

- ▶ Engine efficiency:  $\eta_e = \frac{p_{me}}{p_{mf}}$

30 / 51

## Engine Efficiency – Map Representation



Willans line parameters:  $e(\omega_e)$   $p_{me,0}(\omega_e)$

31 / 51

## Outline

Repetition

Energy demand cont.

Energy demand and recuperation

Sensitivity Analysis

Forward and Inverse (QSS) Models

IC Engine Models

Normalized Engine Variables

Engine Efficiency

Gear-Box and Clutch Models

Selection of Gear Ratio

Gear-Box Efficiency

Clutches and Torque Converters

Analysis of IC Powertrains

Average Operating Point


Quasistatic Analysis

Software tools


## Causality and Basic Equations

- ▶ Causalities for Gear-Box Models

Quasistatic Approach



Dynamic Approach



- ▶ Power balance – Loss free model

$$\omega_1 = \gamma \omega_2, \quad T_1 = \frac{T_2}{\gamma}$$

32 / 51

33 / 51

## Different Types of Gearboxes

- ▶ Manual Gear Box
- ▶ Automatic Gear Box, with torque converter
- ▶ Automatic Gear Box, with automated clutch
- ▶ Automatic Gear Box, with dual clutches (DCT)
- ▶ Continuously variable transmission

34 / 51

## Connections of Importance for Gear Ratio Selection

- ▶ Vehicle motion equation:

$$m_v \frac{d}{dt} v(t) = F_t - \frac{1}{2} \rho_a A_f c_d v^2(t) - m_v g c_r - m_v g \sin(\alpha)$$

Constant speed  $\frac{d}{dt} v(t) = 0$ :


$$F_t = \frac{1}{2} \rho_a A_f c_d v^2(t) + m_v g c_r + m_v g \sin(\alpha)$$

- ▶ A given speed  $v$  will require power  $F_t v$  from the powertrain.
- ▶ This translates to power at the engine  $T_e \omega_e$ .  
Changing/selecting gears decouples  $\omega_e$  and  $v$ .
- ▶ Required tractive force increases with speed.  
For a fixed gear ratio there is also an increase in required engine torque.

35 / 51

## Selection of Gear Ratio

Gear ratio selection connected to the engine map.



Additionally: Also geometric ratio between gears.

$$\frac{i_{g,1}}{i_{g,2}} \approx \frac{i_{g,2}}{i_{g,3}} \approx \frac{i_{g,3}}{i_{g,4}} \approx \frac{i_{g,4}}{i_{g,5}}$$

36 / 51


## Selection of Gear Ratio

Optimizing gear ratio for a certain cycle.

- ▶ Potential to save fuel.
- ▶ Case study 8.1 (we'll look at it later).

37 / 51

## Gear-box Efficiency



- ▶ In traction mode


$$T_2 \omega_w = e_{gb} T_1 \omega_e - P_{0,gb}(\omega_e), \quad T_1 \omega_e > 0$$

- ▶ In engine braking mode (fuel cut)

$$T_1 \omega_e = e_{gb} T_2 \omega_w - P_{0,gb}(\omega_e), \quad T_1 \omega_e < 0$$

38 / 51

## Clutch and Torque Converter Efficiency




Friction clutch torque:

$$T_{1,e}(t) = T_{1,gb}(t) = T_1(t) \quad \forall t$$

Action and reaction torque in the clutch, no mass.

39 / 51

## Torque Characteristics of a Friction Clutch



Approximation of the maximum torque in a friction clutch

$$T_{1,max} = \text{sign}(\Delta\omega) \left( T_b - (T_b - T_a) \cdot e^{-|\Delta\omega|/\Delta\omega_0} \right)$$

40 / 51

## Main parameters in a Torque Converter



Input torque at the converter:

$$T_{1,e}(t) = \xi(\phi(t)) \rho_h d_p^5 \omega_e^2(t)$$

Converter output torque

$$T_{1,gb}(t) = \psi(\phi(t)) \cdot T_{1,e}(t)$$

Graph for the speed ratio  $\phi(t) = \frac{\omega_{gb}}{\omega_e}$  and the experimentally determined  $\psi(\phi(t))$



The efficiency in traction mode becomes

$$\eta_{tc} = \frac{\omega_{gb} T_{1,gb}}{\omega_e T_{1,e}} = \psi(\phi) \phi$$


41 / 51

Outline

- Repetition
- Energy demand cont.
  - Energy demand and recuperation
- Sensitivity Analysis
- Forward and Inverse (QSS) Models
- IC Engine Models
  - Normalized Engine Variables
  - Engine Efficiency
- Gear-Box and Clutch Models
  - Selection of Gear Ratio
  - Gear-Box Efficiency
  - Clutches and Torque Converters


- Analysis of IC Powertrains
  - Average Operating Point
  - Quasistatic Analysis
  - Software tools

Average Operating Point Method




- Average operating point method
  - Good agreement for conventional powertrains.
- Hand-in assignment.

Quasistatic analysis – Layout




- More details and better agreement (depends on model quality)
  - Good agreement for general powertrains
- Hand-in assignment.

Quasistatic analysis – IC Engine Structure



Quasistatic analysis – Engine Operating Points




Software tools

Different tools for studying energy consumption in vehicle propulsion systems


	Quasi static	Dynamic
QSS (ETH)	X	
Advisor, AVL	X	(X)
PSAT		X
CAPSim (VSim)		X
Inhouse tools	(X)	(X)

PSAT

Argonne national laboratory



Advisor



### Information from AVL:

- ▶ The U.S. Department of Energy's National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) first developed ADVISOR in 1994.
- ▶ Between 1998 and 2003 it was downloaded by more than 7,000 individuals, corporations, and universities world-wide.
- ▶ In early 2003 NREL initiated the commercialisation of ADVISOR through a public solicitation.
- ▶ AVL responded and was awarded the exclusive rights to license and distribute ADVISOR world-wide.